

December 23, 2025

POLK COUNTY, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

ADDENDUM #1

RFP 26-055, Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) – Smith Lane Joint-Use Fire Rescue Station

This addendum is issued to clarify, add to, revise and/or delete items of the RFP Documents for this work. This Addendum is a part of the RFP Documents and acknowledgment of its receipt should be noted on the Addendum.

Contained within this addendum: Questions and answers.

Tabatha Shirah

Tabatha Shirah
Sr. Procurement Analyst
Procurement Division

This Addendum sheet should be signed and returned with your submittal. This is the only acknowledgment required.

Signature: _____

Printed Name: _____

Title: _____

Company: _____

RFP 26-055, Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) – Smith Lane Joint-Use Fire Rescue Station

Addendum #1

Question 1: Can the County allow us to submit Polk County Survey Questionnaires that were solicited and completed by our clients for previous Polk County RFP's?

Answer 1: 1) Yes, surveys from prior RFP submittals may be used if they meet the following criteria.

- i. The survey questions are the same
- ii. The scope of work of the prior RFP survey you are submitting is similar to the scope of the work of this RFP.
- iii. The survey submitted is for one of the projects identified under Tab 3.
- iv. If submitting a survey from a prior RFP, please manually strike through the RFP number and name on the top of the survey and write in the appropriate RFP number and name that matches the RFP you are submitting a proposal for.

Question 2: Polk County is running the procurement—is the selection committee comprised solely of Polk County staff/leadership?

Answer 2: Yes.

Question 3: Please, provide any additional details about the selection committee composition/

Answer 3: The five (5) selection committee members for this project are:

1. Keith Tate, Facilities Management Director
2. Shawn Smith, Fire Chief
3. Joe Halman, Deputy County Manager
4. Becky Troutman, Commissioner
5. Darrell Johnson, Supplier Diversity

Question 4: As it relates to joint ventures, is it relevant which firm identifies as lead on the response when it comes to consideration for WMBE and Local preference or will both firm's be considered in combination as explained in narrative?

Answer 4: As long as the joint venture agreement submitted demonstrates an equal partnership between the firms, the evaluation will consider both firms. If the arrangement is not a joint venture and one firm is identified as the lead, the other firm will be treated as a subconsultant/subcontractor for evaluation purposes.